### Interaction Network Analysis Using Semantic Similarity Based on Translation Embeddings

LEIBNIZ-INFORMATIONSZENTRUM TECHNIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTE UNIVERSITÄTSBIBLIOTHEK



#### **Presenter:** Damien Graux

#### **Authors:** Awais Manzoor Bajwa, Diego Collarana, and Maria-Esther Vidal









### **Motivation**

- In vitro & vivo identification of drug target interactions is expensive, time consuming, and very laborious;
- Bringing a new drug to the market, costs≈\$1.8 billion and takes more than 10 years;
- Computational approaches predict such interactions to be then verified, helping in reducing the cost.







### Question

Network based drug-target interaction prediction with probabilistic soft logic

## Different solutions already exist.

#### Why work on this problem?

Drug-Target interaction prediction using semantic similarity and edge partitioning Kernelized bayesian matrix factorization with twin kernels





### **Vector Based Approaches**

- Simple, multidimensional and computationally efficient vector based representation;
- Can be used as underlying input to other machine learning algorithms;
- More information can be embedded;
- Entities are comparable to each other in different dimensions;
- Simpler to visualize in vector space.



http://www.marekrei.com/blog/multilingual-semantic-models/







• We want to answer the following research question:

#### "Can semantically vector based representation of drug-target interaction network identify novel drug-target interactions?"

- We want to understand entity to vector (e2v) translation approaches;
- We want to develop a novel e2v approach that encodes semantic similarity value between entities too;
- We evaluate link prediction between drugs and targets.





7

### Why embed semantic similarity values too?

- The **homophily principle** is the tendency of individuals to **interact** and bond with similar others;
- The presence of homophily has been discovered in a vast array of network studies;
- Individuals in homophilic relationships share common characteristics (e.g.,beliefs, values, education) that make communication and relationship formation easier;







8



Drug-Target interaction network with similarity based interactions represented with dotted lines.

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Network-Based-Drug-Target-Interaction-Prediction-Fakhraei-Huang/074f3e9f973e6127dfe2eb74a51c15594ce15e25





- Published in NIPS 2013 by Antoine Bordes et al;
- True interactions are closer, corrupted interactions are moved away;
- Tree representation are considered;
- Stochastic gradient descent is used;
- It is an energy based model.

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{(h,\ell,t)\in S} \sum_{(h',\ell,t')\in S'_{(h,\ell,t)}} [\gamma + d(h + \ell,t) - d(h' + \ell,t')]_+$$

 $subject + predicate \approx object$ subject + predicate 
otin object



http://pyvandenbussche.info/2017/translating-embeddings-transe/







### SimTransE

- End-to-end approach for drug-target interaction prediction;
- Two interaction types are considered:
  - Actual drug-target interactions
  - Similarity based reinforced interactions
- Vector embeddings representing each drug & target
- Predictions made based on similarities between embeddings





#### SimTransE

Interaction Functions	Objective Functions	
$\begin{cases} h+l \approx t, & \text{if h interacts (l) t} \\ h+l \not\approx t, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$L_{i} = \sum_{(h,\ell,t)\in S} \sum_{(h',\ell,t')\in S'_{(h,\ell,t)}} \left[\gamma + d(h+\ell,t) - d(h'+\ell,t')\right]_{+}$	
$\begin{cases} h1 + l \approx h2, & \text{if h1 similar h2} \\ h1 + l \not\approx h2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$\left  L_{s} = \sum_{(h,\ell,t)\in S} \sum_{(h',\ell,t')\in SI_{(h,\ell,t)}} \left[ d(h+\ell,t) - d(h'+\ell,t') \right]_{+} \right $	





#### **The Architecture**



#### The **Data Processor** parses data to RDF and creates:

- Three sets of, i.e., the subjects (s), relational entities (p), and the objects (o).
- Two matrices one representing the positive and negative interactions of entities and second with the similarity values among entities.





#### **The Architecture**



The **Model Trainer** receives as input dictionaries and matrices and:

- It resorts to the stochastic gradient descent method to optimize the position and direction of the embeddings in a vector space;
- It uses interactions and similarities between entities to solve the optimization problem, and generates embeddings as output.





#### **The Architecture**



The **Predictor** component takes the generated embedding vectors, and thresholds and:

- It iterates over all the entities and predicts new interactions of each entity with every other entity;
- It calculates the Precision, Recall and additionally, the Area Under Receiver (AUC) and the Area Under the Precision-Recall Curve (AUPRC).







### **Drug-Target Interaction Prediction**

- Drug-target data obtained from KEGG BRITE, BRENDA, SuperTarget, and DrugBank. (Nov 2007)
- Similarity scores are computed by the SIMCOMP score (Hattori et al, J.Ame.Chem.Soc, 2003)
- 10 fold cross validation, 4 Percentiles P80, P90, P95, P98
- 90% interactions used for training, 10% for test
- Precision, Recall, AUC, AUPRC

Statistics	Nuclear Receptor	lon channel
# of drugs	54	210
# of targets	26	204
# of drug-target interactions	90	1476

Source: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2718640/





#### **Nuclear Receptor**

Area under ROC Curve Area under Precision-Recall Curve simTransE 📕 simTransE + semEP 📕 semEP random 📕 transE 📕 simTransE 📕 simTransE + semEP 📕 semEP random 📕 transE 0.85 0.8176 0.8084 0.8025 0.5816 0.799 0.5767 0.6 0.5697 0.567 0.8 0.7612 0.7491 0.7435 0.7401 0.482 0.75 0.5 0.4095 0.7 0.393<mark>6</mark> 0.3827 0.6732 0.6713 0.3915 0.3903 0.3781 0.65/9 0.4 0.6477 0.3573 0.65 0.3 0.6 P80 P90 P95 P98 P80 P90 P95 P98





#### Ion channel







# Conclusion

**1.** Presented results suggest that vector-based drug-target embeddings are a suitable solution for predicting interactions.

**2.** Including values of similarities in the training process improves the predictive performance.

**3.** SimTransE is able to produce drug-target predictions with significant results.

## **Future Work**

 Work on the problem of imbalanced classes.
 Decrease the mixed up negative examples in positive space 2. See if the results are improved while using TrasH as underlying approach

**3.** Include other input data sources in the training process e.g. side effect similarities.

### Thanks for your Attention Questions?



LEIBNIZ-INFORMATIONSZENTRUM TECHNIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN UNIVERSITÄTSBIBLIOTHEK



#### **Questions:**

#### <Diego.Collarana.Vargas@iais.fraunhofer.de>







**GPCR** 

Area under ROC Curve



#### Area under Precision-Recall Curve







#### Enzyme

Area under ROC Curve





Interaction Network Analysis Using Semantic Similarity Based on Translation Embeddings



Area under Precision-Recall Curve

#### Algorithm to learn simTransE vector embeddings

#### Inputs:

- set  $S = \{(h, \ell, t)\}$  of training data.
- set *E* and *L* representing the entities and relations.
- square matrix for each entity type representing the similarity among each entity i.e. the value at index (i, j) represents the similarity value between entity i and entity j.
- hyper parameters learning rate  $\mathbf{lr}$ , margin  $\gamma$ , number of embedding dimensions k, number of similar interactions t, similarity threshold st, number of epochs ep.

#### **Initialize:**

 $similarInteractions \leftarrow generateSimilarInteractions(simMatrix,S,t,st)$  $\ell \leftarrow uniform(-\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}})$  for each relation  $\ell \in L$  $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \text{uniform}(-\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{6}{\sqrt{k}}) \text{ for each entity } \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}$ *iterationCounter*  $\leftarrow 0$ 

#### loop

iterationCounter + = 1if (iterationCounter == ep) then break end if  $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \mathbf{e}/||\mathbf{e}||$  for each entity  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}$  $S_{intBatch} \leftarrow sample(S, b) / sample a minbatch of size b \in S$  $S_{simBatch} \leftarrow sample(similarInteractions, b) //sample a minibatch of size b$  $\in$  similar Interactions

 $S_{batch} \leftarrow S_{intBatch}$  $T_{batch} \leftarrow \phi$ for  $(h, \ell, t) \in S_{batch}$  do  $(h', \ell, t') \leftarrow sample(S'_{(h,\ell,t)}) // \text{ sample a corrupted triplet}$  $T_{batch} \leftarrow T_{batch} \cup \{((h, \ell, t), (h', \ell, t'))\} // \text{ original triple should not go as a}$ corrupted triple end for

 $S_{hatch} \leftarrow S_{sim Batch}$  $Sim_{batch} \leftarrow \phi$ for  $(h, \ell, t) \in S_{batch}$  do  $(h', \ell, t') \leftarrow sample(S_{simBatch(h, \ell, t)}) // sample a soft similar triplet$  $Sim_{batch} \leftarrow Sim_{batch} \cup \{((h, \ell, t), (h', \ell, t'))\} // \text{ original triple should not}$ go as a similar triple

#### end for

Update embeddings w.r.t

$$\sum_{\left((h,\ell,t),\left(h',\ell,t'\right)\right)\in T_{batch}} \bigtriangledown \left[\gamma + d(\boldsymbol{h}+\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{t}) - d(\boldsymbol{h}'+\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{t}')\right]_{+}$$

$$\sum_{(h,\ell,t),(h',\ell,t'))\in Sim_{batch}} \nabla \big[ d(\boldsymbol{h}+\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{t}) - d(\boldsymbol{h'}+\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{t'}) \big]_+$$

end loop





#### Predicted interactions based on triad rule





